

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,001	03/17/2000	Shiri Kadambi	P108339-00003 3385	
32294	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			HOANG, THAI D	
14TH FLOOI 8000 TOWEI	RS CRESCENT		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			2667	11
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/528,001	KADAMBI ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thai D Hoang	2667				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejectine IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period dee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>	•					
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Generally, header is a module of a data packet. Therefore, the statement "module headers" recited in claim 1 is not indicate a difference with generic headers of the data packets. Examiner acknowledges the module header diclosed in pages 100-102 of the application is different with a generic header. However, the differences are not recited in the claim 1. In addition, in the network, every swtiching node of an established path has to generate a header according to a routing table for routing the data packets from a source to a destination; therefore, this feature is inherently comprised in the Muller's system.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 3/2 2/27